



INV Training itinerary

Use of the Self-Observation Protocol

Guidelines for their analysis by the trainers.

Self-Observation Protocols should be analysed according to the following criteria:

- **Accuracy and comprehensibility of the event as it was reported (point nr 1):** is the report of the event comprehensible? That's to say, can it be easily understood even by someone who was not there at the moment of the event itself ? Are the roles played by different actors during the event well described? That's to say: person with severe intellectual disabilities (id), professional, other professionals attending the event, relatives ?
- **Careful description of emotions (point nr 2):** does the professional carefully described his/her emotions or does he/she just list them? **Does he/she make a distinction between emotions felt at the moment of the event and those felt at the moment of reflection?**
- **Links with past experiences (point nr 3):** Does the professional try his best to look for the requested links or does he/she just report similar cases related to the person with id? Does he/she describe emotions felt? **Does he/she make a comparison between emotions felt at the moment and those related to this episode?**
- **Accuracy in the evaluation of the event (point nr 4):** Does the professional really evaluate the event, taking into account different elements or does he/she just provide an explanation making reference only to the severe conditions of the person with disabilities? Does he/she emphasise his and his team fronting skills?
- **Adequacy of theoretical references (point nr 5):** Is the professional able to express and describe the fundamental values and the methodological approach

which have led him/her during the event and to which he/she refers at the moment of reflection? Are there any references to the Pedagogical model?

- **Accuracy in the working out of the lesson learnt (point nr 6):** Does the professional provide a critical reflection as it is asked for? Does the ability to review and modify his/her own vision of the other emerge?
- **Congruity of the topics to be discussed within the team (point nr 7):** Are the emphasised items coherent with the whole working out of the protocol? Do they really appear as useful for a group reflection?